

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,829	03/29/2007	Frank Schmidt	117842-003	1032	
29176 K&L Gates L	7590 11/02/200 LP	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 113	35		VORTMAN	VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/596,829	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ANATOLY VORTMAN	2835				

	ANATOLY VORTMAN	2835					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>Since reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavitial (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	extension fee				
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the f	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	ann the time period det letter in ex-	51 11 -1 1.07 (u).					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a \omega They raise new issues that would require further cor b \omega They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c							
NOTE: amended clms 12 and 24 raise new issue.							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note: the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13.  Other:							
	/Anatoly Vortman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2835					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)